



# **Business Law and Bankruptcy**

## **Class 7**



# Fiduciary Duties: The Duty of Care

- This duty requires that the officer and director act with reasonable care and diligence
- Breaches:
  - Misfeasance: Taking actions on behalf of the corporation without conducting a proper amount of research etc.
    - (objective test: what the “reasonable” person would have done under the circumstances)
  - Nonfeasance: Failing to take action that a reasonable person would assume necessary to adequately perform duties under the circumstances



# Fiduciary Duties: The Duty of Care

- Even though the above standards are objective, they do take into account the level of experience, training, etc. of the officer or director
  - i.e., the person will be held to the standard of a reasonable person with his level of experience and training...
- **Liability:**
  - The director or officer will be liable to the corporation for harm suffered as a result of the breach of care



# Business Judgment Rule

- This rule protects directors and officers from liability for decisions that they make that later turn out bad.
  - If a director makes a corporate decision in good faith, the court will not “second guess” that decision under ordinary circumstances.
- Reason: To avoid discouraging people from acting as directors by making it impossible for them to use their judgment in making decisions



# Business Judgment Rule

- The Business Judgment Rule does NOT apply where:
  - The officer or director has not been “reasonably prudent” in the transaction
  - The officer or director has failed to adequately research the transaction or has entered the transaction hastily without “due diligence”
  - The officer or director has acted in “bad faith” in the transaction
  - The officer or director has breached the duty of loyalty in the transaction
  - Where the officer or director has a stake in the transaction (the “entire fairness” standard applies instead)



# QUIZ TIME!