CRIMINAL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT

TITLE IN FULL:		FOR OFFICIAL	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		
		APPEAL DOCI FILED:	KET NO.		
		DATE SENT:			
APPELLANT'S A	ATTORNEY(S): ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	CLIENT		
AIVIE	ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	CLIENT		
RESPONDENT'S IAME	S ATTORNEY(S): ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	CLIENT		
	SUMMARY OF TERMS OF .	JUDGMENT ENTERED BELOW:			
loes this detern	mination dispose of all issue	es as to all narties? Yes	No		
f not, leave to	mination dispose of <u>all issue</u> appeal must be sought. <u>R</u> . f a statute, executive order.	2:2-4, 2:5-6.)	No		
If not, leave to a strict to a		2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional	No		
If not, leave to a sthe validity of provision of this statement of the sta	appeal must be sought. <u>R</u> . f a statute, executive order,	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes	No		
If not, leave to a sthe validity of provision of this state of the sta	appeal must be sought. R. f a statute, executive order, s State questioned? (R. 2:5-1	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes Yes Yes	No No No		
f not, leave to a the validity of rovision of this defendant pre on bail?	appeal must be sought. R. f a statute, executive order, s State questioned? (R. 2:5-1 esently confined?	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No		
If not, leave to a sthe validity of provision of this state of the sta	appeal must be sought. R. f a statute, executive order, s State questioned? (R. 2:5-1 esently confined?	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No		
If not, leave to a sthe validity of provision of this as defendant preconding this an appeadant there co-def	appeal must be sought. R. f a statute, executive order, s State questioned? (R. 2:5-1 esently confined?	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No		
If not, leave to a sthe validity of provision of this state of the sta	appeal must be sought. R. f a statute, executive order, s State questioned? (R. 2:5-1 esently confined?	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No		
If not, leave to a sthe validity of provision of this s defendant pre on bail? sthis an appea Are there co-deferso, state their	appeal must be sought. R. f a statute, executive order, s State questioned? (R. 2:5-1 esently confined? If of sentence only? fendants? names:	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No		
If not, leave to a sthe validity of provision of this s defendant pre on bail? s this an appea Are there co-def	appeal must be sought. R. f a statute, executive order, s State questioned? (R. 2:5-1 esently confined? If of sentence only? fendants? names:	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No		
If not, leave to a sthe validity of provision of this s defendant pre on bail? s this an appea Are there co-def	appeal must be sought. R. f a statute, executive order, s State questioned? (R. 2:5-1 esently confined? If of sentence only? fendants? names:	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No		
If not, leave to a the validity of this or ovision of this or over the co-deferso, state their	appeal must be sought. R. f a statute, executive order, s State questioned? (R. 2:5-1 esently confined? If of sentence only? fendants? names:	2:2-4, 2:5-6.) franchise or constitutional (h)). Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No		

1. IS THERE ANY	Y CASE NOW PENDI	NG OR ABOU	T TO BE BROUGH	T BEFORE THIS	COURT WHIC
(A) Arises fro	om substantially the	same case o	r controversy as th	nis	
appeal?	,		-	Yes	_ No
• •	an issue that is sub	-	same, similar or		
related t	to an issue in this a	ppeal?		Yes	_ No
2. WAS THERE A	ANY PRIOR APPEAL	. INVOLVING	THIS CASE OR	Vac	No
				Yes	_ No
IF YES, STAT	E:			Appellate Divis	sion_
Case Name:				Docket No.:	
any circumstanc	ng your brief and ap ces exist which migh ur answer does <u>not</u>	ht justify a sh	orter or longer pe	riod of time, give	e a detailed
any circumstancexplanation. You	es exist which migh	ht justify a shalter the time	orter or longer pe e limits set forth in any entry on the C	riod of time, given the Rules of Co	e a detailed urt.
any circumstance explanation. You have a conform.	ces exist which might ur answer does <u>not</u> re is any change wit atinuing obligation to	ht justify a shalter the time	any entry on the C	riod of time, given the Rules of Co	e a detailed urt.
any circumstancexplanation. You have a conform.	ces exist which might ur answer does <u>not</u> re is any change wit	ht justify a sh alter the time	any entry on the C	riod of time, given the Rules of Co	e a detailed urt.