Class 6 Alternative Dispute Resolution

Truthfulness to Others – Model Rule 4.1

- A legal professional may not:
 - 1. Make a false statement of fact to any third party.
 - 2. Make a false representation of law to any third party.
 - 3. Fail to disclose something to a third party when the disclosure is necessary to prevent a crime or fraud by the client (unless the disclosure it outlawed by the attorney-client confidentiality rules).
- This also includes the responsibility to remedy a past false statement made by the attorney, even if it was made unintentionally (again, unless the statement is prohibited by confidentiality rules).
- Assisting the client in committing a crime or fraud is, of course, unethical and illegal.

Truthfulness during Negotiation – Lying

"Puffing"

- In spite of Rule 4.1, it is generally accepted that "puffing" during negotiations is allowed. This is because parties are not generally expected to RELY on these statements. These include:
 - Misrepresenting what your clients are willing to settle for.
 - Misrepresenting what aspects of a settlement are important to your client.
 - Making claims that are unverifiable and maybe slightly exaggerated, if they are basically true.
- Affirmative Representations
 - Outright lies regarding materials facts are not allowed
- Partially True Statements
 - A partially true statement (or a statement that makes a false implication) is an unethical lie if it is intended to cause misinterpretation or if it is obviously likely to do so.

Truthfulness during Negotiation – Failing to Disclose

■ The general principal at work in negotiation is *caveat emptor* ("let the buyer beware"): Not requiring the disclosure of any fact.

However:

Failing to disclose a fact central to a case can be considered an implicit misrepresentation in some cases.

- Disclosure of information may (but not must) be disclosed to prevent death or serious bodily injury, even if the information in protected by attorney-client confidentiality rules.
- Affirmative duties to disclose exist in many areas, such as real estate, stocks and bonds, etc., but such rules generally do not apply to negotiations.
- In spite of the *caveat emptor* rule, some courts have set aside settlements based on egregious cases of failure to disclose.

QUIZ TIME! Alternative Dispute Resolution Class 6

No Contact Rule – Model Rule 4.2

- This rule disallows any personal contact with any opposing party who is already represented by counsel without the counsel present.
- All negotiations should be done between counsel for one side and counsel for the other.
- The rule applies no matter how sophisticated the opposing party is it even applies if the other party is an attorney (unless she is representing herself...).
- This rule cannot be waived by a party unless consented to by the opposing attorney.
- The rule does not apply to communication directly between the parties (though that might not be advisable)
- The rule applies even if the other party initiates the contact!
- The rule applies to employees of corporations represented by counsel regarding a corporate matter.

Contact with Unrepresented Parties – Model Rule 4.3

- Contacting parties not represented by counsel regarding a particular matter is not proscribed under Rule 4.2. However, certain precautions must be taken so that the party will not misunderstand or misinterpret the attorney's role in the pending matter:
 - The attorney must clearly identify himself, his role in the controversy at hand and not state that he is disinterested.
 - 2. If the attorney senses the third party is confused or misunderstands the attorney's involvement, the attorney must correct the mistake.
 - 3. The attorney must clearly state whom she is representing and NOT lead the interviewee to think that the attorney is looking out for her interests or representing her.
 - 4 The attorney must not give legal advice to the third party.
 - 5. The attorney must not talk about duties of loyalty and confidentiality as though those apply to this conversation. (because they do NOT).
 - 6. The attorney must be very careful not to create conflicts of interest by giving legal advice.